

المركزية واللامركزية في الإدارة التربوية في فلسطين من وجهة نظر
مديري ومديرات المدارس الحكومية في محافظات
شمال الضفة الفلسطينية

إعداد

رشيد خالد راشد منصور

نوقشت هذه الأطروحة بتاريخ 2004/06/01 م وأجيزت.

أعضاء لجنة المناقشة

التوقيع

1 - الدكتور عبد محمد عساف/ مشرفاً ورئيساً

2 - الدكتور يوسف عواد/ ممتحناً خارجياً

3 - الدكتور غسان الحلو/ ممتحناً داخلياً

4 - الدكتور علي بركات/ ممتحناً داخلياً

■ ■ ■

■ ■ ■

■ ■ ■

...

■ ■ ■

...

■ ■ ■

...

...

...

■ ■ ■

□ □

□ □

□ □

□ □

□ □

□ □ □ □ □ □

■ ■ ■

...

...

1	:
2	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
14	:
15	
15	
17	
17	() :
20	:
23	:
27	
28	
28	

29	
29	
31	
32	
34	
36	
38	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
46	
47	
47	
48	
49	
51	
52	
55	
58	
62	
62	
71	
78	
81	:
82	
82	

83	
86	
88	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	:
93	
106	
108	
109	
111	
112	
114	
118	:
119	
121	
123	
124	
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	
132	
133	
134	

135	
137	
138	
143	(References)
146	
b	(Abstract)

82		1
83		2
84		3
84		4
85		5
85		6
86		7
88		8
94		9
95		10
97		11
98		12
100		13
101		14
102		15
104		16

106	(²)	17
107	()	18
108	(²)	19
109	(²)	20
110	()	21
111	(²)	22
112	()	23
113	(²)	24
113	()	25
114	(²)	26
115	()	27

147	()	1
153	/ ()	2
154		3
155		4
156	/	5
160		6

.
 :
 .
 : (61)
 .
 : (300)
 (153) (277)
 . (124)

(Chai Square)

()

(0.05= α)

:

-1

(34.2)

(44.4)

(78.6)

.(21.4)

-2

:

.

:

(0.05= α)

-3

:

.

.

⋮

.(1998)

.(1991)

.(1996)

(2000)

.(2002)

.(.)

.(1991)

.

(1991)

(John Walton)

(Willis Hawley)

(Hanson,1998) .

.(Hawkins, 2000)

(72) 1979

.(1981)

1977

)

1979

.(1981

1994

.(1995)

(2001)

.(2000)

.

-
-

•

•

() -1

■

-2

() ()

■

(%50) -3

(%40)

-4

■

.

:

":
.

()

()

()

.(2000) "

()

.

:

:

:

-1

:

:

.

:

-2

.

:

:

:

-1

-2

)

(()

-3

)

(

-4

(/)

-5

()

-6

()

-7

)

(

:

:

(0.05 = α)

-1

.

(0.05 = α)

-2

.

(0.05 = α)

-3

.

(0.05 = α)

-4

.

(0.05 = α) -5

(0.05 = α) -6

:

:

: -1

:

: -2

(%25)

(%21)

– 2004/1/17 2004 / 2003 : -3

.2004/3/28

:

:Educational Administration -

.(1996)

:Educational Management -

.(1979) :

:School Management -

.(1996)

: -

.(1979)

:() -

.

: -

.(1979)

:() -

/

.

- **:(Delegation of Authority)**

.(1996 O ECD)

- **:(Responsibility)**

Slocum, 1992)

.(2001

- **:(Authority)**

.(2001 Slocum , 1992)

- **:(Accountability)**

.(1996 Appleby, 1984)

- **:(Power)**

"

.(1996 Robbins) "

)

:

-

.(1998

12 11

:

-

.(1998

)

⋮

⋮

2000

.

110

:

.(1998)

.(2001)

(C. Bernard)

(O. Sheldon)

(M. Weber)

(H. Fayol)

(F. Taylor)

.

:

" () •

."

" : () •

."

" : () •

."

" : () •

."

" : () •

."

:

.(2001)

:

.(1998)

: (1992)

()

.(2001)

:() :

: .(1989)

(1917-1856) (F. Taylor) : .1

: () .

.(1991)

()

:

-1

.

-2

.

-3

.

-4

.

.(1996)

(Theory of Administrative Principles) .2

(H. Fayol)

()

()

.

Blum & Scott, 1970)

.(1999

(Bureaucracy Theory)

.3

(M.Weber)

:

() .

"

.(1989)

.(1991) "

:

:

:(2001)

(Human Relations Movement) : .1

(Elton Mayo)

1932 1927

.

.(1998)

.(1991)

:(Organized Development) -2

—

—

.

.(2001)

(1989)

.(2001)

:

() : (McGregor X, Y Theory) () -1

" " 1960

(X) .(Y) (X)

(Y) .

.(1997)

(X)

(Y)

.(2001) ()

:(Maslaw Needs Theory) -2

()

:

()

()

(1999)

.(2001)

:(Administrative Grid Theory) -3

1969 (Blake & Mouton)

.

(9.9)

(1,1)

.(2001)

:

(Systems Theory) :

(Contingency or Situational

(Z Theory) " "

Theory)

.(1998)

(Bertalanffy)

:(Systems Theory) -1

(Boulding)

1950

(Buckely)

.

.(1998)

1994)

.(1999

:(Contingency or Situational Theory)

-2

(Fiedler)

.

.(2001)

:(1998)

.

.1

.

.2

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

:(Management By Objectives) -3

" " (Beter Drucker) 1954

1965 (George Odiorne)

(John Humble)

() Improving Business Results "

"

"

.

"

.

"

"

.

.(.) "

: (1991)

: .1

.

: .2

.

: .3

.

: .4

.

: .5

.

:Theory Z Model " " -4

(William Ouchi)

.

(Kuhn & Beam, 1982)

(Outchi, 1981) .

:

.(1998)

)

.(1996

.

.(1996)

.

()

)

.

)

(

.(

)

(

.(**2001**)

.(**1996**)

.(**1993**)

)

()

()

.(**1984**)

(

)

:

) ... (

(2001

.(1984)

.(1985)

Principal Headmaster

.(1996)

" " "education"

" " " "

.) (2001 1977)

.

()

.

.) (2001)

(1984)

.

(1996)

)

.

■

•

|| || || || || || || ||

11

11

□

□

■

.1

.2

■

■

.3

■

.4

.5

.(1996)

:

:Educational Administration as a Social Forces

-1

(Parsons, 1951)

(Getzels & Guba, 1957)

/

.(1998)

(Getzels 1968)

"

"

"

"

.(1996)

(Guba)

" "

.

:

" " .

.(1999)

(Parsons,1958)

: " "

.1

.2

.3

.(1996) .4

" "

:

: -1

.

: -2

:

.

: -3

.

(1998)

.(1999)

:Decision Making Process - 2

"

1964)

(H. Simon) "

" "

(Griffiths,

:

: -

. -1

-2

.

-3

.

-4

.

:

—

-1

.

-2

.(1999)

" "

.

:

.

.

.(1996)

: -3

:

" " :Sears " " -

The Nature of the Administrative Process

1950

" " " " " " " " " "

Command " " " Direction " "

" "

)

.(1996

: -

Co-

Operative Program in Educational Administration (C.P.E.A.)

0

:

:

: -1

:

· : ·

:

·

: -2

·

: -3

·

" "

.(1999)

1970 " " :(Halpin) -

:

· :Directory (1

Formal Organization (2

·

. Work Group (3

) The leader (4

).(1996

:(Management By Objective) -4

)

).(1993

(1999)

:

: -1

.

: -2

.

: -3

.

: -4

.

: -5

.

: -6

: .

.

: -7

.(2001)

: **(Newell , 1979)**

() -1

.

-2

.

-3

.(1993)

:

:

(1989)

: -1

.

: -2

.

: -3

.

: -4

.

:

(1984)

. -1

. -2

. - 3

. -4

	:	(1983)	
			-1
	.		
			-2
.			
:			-3
			-4
	.		
			-5
	.		

:	(1979)	
	:	-1
	.	
	:	-2

.

-3

.

(Etzioni, 1964)

()

()

.(1993)

:

-1

.

-2

.

-3

.(1996)

()

:

.

(1985)

)

(1996

(1996)

:

School-Based Management	- 1
Leadership Circles	- 2
Accountability	- 3
Collective Leadership	- 4
Collective Decision Making	- 5
Participation	- 6

(McGinn & Welsh , 1999)

(deconcentration)

(devolution)

(School-Based Management)

:

- 1

.(Accountability)

- 2

.(McGinn & Welsh, 1999)

(1979)

:

:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

-8

:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

.10

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

:

(1996)

:

:

-1

()

()

.()

-2

.

-3

.

.

-4

.

-5

.

(2000)

(

" :

()

()

()

."

:

.

.(2000)

(1996)

()

.

()

.

Delegation of Authority

"

"

.(1996)

(1996)

(1998) .

.

(1995)

.

.

.(1996)

.(1996)

.(1992)

:

.(1996)

-: (1996)

: :

.

: :

.

: :

.

(1996)

() ()

School -Based Management

.(5)

.(2001)

(1789)

()

(14,000) (1900) (100,000)
(1994 **Arnove**) (1988)

.(1991)

(**McGinn & Welsh** ,1999)

(1982) .

.(1994)

(1985)

(1949)

:

.(1996 Tang)

.(Hawkins, 2000)

.(Muta, 2000)

(2000)

(2000)

(2000)

(1980)

(1996)

(1967)

(1964)

(1980)

.(1991)

(1987)

.(1995 1987)

.(1989)

.

(1974)

.(1981)

.(1987)

.(1996)

(2001 1981)

1948

.

1967

:

.(2000)

()

.(2001)

1994

1997

1999

"

2005/2004- 2001/2000

"

"

"

"

" (2000) "

(School-Based Management)

:

"

)

.(5"

2001

(1998)

" :

."

:

-1

.

-2

.

-3

.

- 4

.

-5

.

(1998)

:

:

-

() (1982) -1

(250)

:

(90)

•

•

•

•

•

.

() (1982) -2

:

•

.

•

.

) (1983) -3

: (

:

•

.

:

•

.

•

.

() (1985) -4

:

•

.

•

.

) (1986) -5

(

.

:

•

.

•

.

•

.

•

%46,9

%53,1

%54,7

%51,3

.

) (1987) -6

(

)

(39)

(

(160)

.

.

) (1991) -7

(

:

:

.(95)

:

.

.

—

) (1991) -8

(

(105)

()

.

.

) (1995) -9

(

(51) (54) (105)

%80

.

.
) (1996) -10
 (-

(135) .

.
 .

.
) (1996) -11
 (

.
 %18 (40)
 .
 (0.05= α)

.

.

) (1997) -12

(

(178) (41) .

.

()

.()

.

) (1999) -13

(

:

.

:

(55)

.

(92)

.

.

.

) : (1999) -14

(

(233)

.

)

(

.

.

) (2002) -15

(

(68)

.

(60)

.

.

.

:

.

.

-

) (Salameh, 1987) -1

-1980

(-

1985

.

.

) (Mezel,1989) -2

(

()

.

" " :) (McGriff, 1993) -3

(

1971 / 1 / 1

.

1973

1:2

1981 / 9 /15

()

11

Arthur Jefferson

. :

.

(:) (Stinnette, 1993) -4

:

:

:

.

-

.

-

.

-

.

.

-

.

.

) (Wohlstetter, et.al, 1997) -5
(

(40) (School-Based Management)

:

.

.

:) (Caldwell and Haywood, 1998) -6

(

(1996 – 1992)

12

600 2300

% 90

.

50

.

" "

.

) (Schmidt & Prawat, 1999) -7
(

.

()

.

:) : (Hanson, 2000) -8
(

:

(1982-1975)

(1975-1939)

.(-1996)

(1996-1982)

(1997-1987)

200

.

:

)

(Ainley & Mckenzie, 2000)

-9

(

:

.

.

() (Muta, 2000) -10

.

) (Dworkin, 2001) -11

(

1977

1986 3165

769 1983

1991

246 1989

1998

1994

.

.

.

:

-1

(1991) (1982) :

(Stinnette,1993) (Muta,2000) (1995)

:

(Mezel,1989) (Hanson, 2000) (McGrif, 1993) (Wohlsteter,et.al.,1997)

(1991) (Ainley&McKenzie,2000)

(1991) (1985) (1982) :

(Caldwell & Haywood,1998) (Salameh,1987) (1997)

(1983) :

.(2002) (1997) (1996) (1986)

(McGriff,1993) :

(Hanson,2000) :

(Wohlsteter,et.al.,1997)

(Salameh,1987) (Muta,2000) (Caldwell & Haywood,1998)

(Ainley&McKenzie,2000) (Shmidt & Prawat,1999)

(1995) (1991) (1982) :

(1986) (1997) (1983) (1991)

(2002) (1996) (1991) (1987)

.(Dworkin,2001)

(1995) :

(1997) : (1996)

(Salameh,1987) (1991)

: (1983)

.(Hanson,2000) (1997) (1986) (1991)

300

(%49.5)

-3

(1991) :
(Caldwell (Wohlsteter, et.al., 1997) (McGriff, 1993) (Salameh,1987)
(Ainley&McKenzie,2000) (1999) & Haywood, 1998)
) (1985) :

(Shmidt & Prawat,1999) (1983

:

-4

·

:

·

:

:

(606)

.(1)

*

:(1)

%			
31.8	193		1
16.7	101		2
16.2	98		3
16.3	99		4
10.4	63		5
8.6	52		6
100	606		

.2004 / 2003

*

. 2004 / 2003

:

%49.5

(300)

(290) ()

(13)

"X"

%92.3 (277)

(2) . %45.8

(7) (6) (5) (4) (3)

. (2) : - 1

:(2)

%		
27.8	77	
67.9	188	()
4.3	12	
100	277	

(2)

(4.3)

(27.8)

.
 (3) : -2
 :(3)

%		
38.6	107	
61.4	170	
100	277	

(61.4) (3)
 /

(4) : - 3

:(4)

%		
63.9	177	(10 – 1)
13.0	36	(20 –10)
23.1	64	(20)
100	277	

(4)

(%63.9)

1994

. /

(5) : - 4

:(5)

%		
55.2	153	
44.8	124	
100	277	

(5)

.

(6) : -5

:(6)

%		
53.4	148	
46.6	129	
100	277	

(6)

.

: (7) : - 6

:(7)

%		
28.5	79	
18.1	50	
15.9	44	
17.3	48	
10.8	30	
9.4	26	
100	277	

.

:

(61)

.

:

-1

.

(20)

-2

15

.(4)

-3

.

:

:

:

.

(61)

(61)

:(1

)

. (9)

-1

. (12)

-2

. (13)

-3

. (11)

-4

. (9)

-5

. (7) -6

(4)

:

.

(40)

(Internal Consistency)

(0.92)

(Alpha Cronbach)

(8)

.

:(8)

0.69		1
0.73		2
0.77		3
0.76		4
0.78		5
0.82		6
0.92		

(0.82 – 0.69)

.

(0.92)

:

:

:

-1

.(2)

-2

-3

-4

(4) -5

-6

:

(SPSS)

()

:

(2)

(1)

:

.(3)

.

-1

.

-2

(Chi Square)" χ^2 "

-3

.

(Z Test) " "

-4

.

:

:

" :

"

(14) (13) (12) (11) (10) (9)

()

(16)

(15)

.

:

.

(%80)

•

.

(%79.9 - %70)

•

.

(%69.9 - %60)

•

.

(%59.9 - %50)

•

(%50)

•

:

-1

.(9)

:(9)

<u>92.4</u>	256	2.9	8	4.7	13		1
<u>86.3</u>	239	8.3	23	5.4	15		2
<u>71.8</u>	199	19.1	53	9.0	25		3
<u>67.9</u>	188	20.2	56	11.9	33		4
<u>78.3</u>	217	18.4	51	3.2	9		5
<u>80.9</u>	224	12.6	35	6.5	18		6
20.6	57	20.9	58	<u>58.2</u>	162		7
<u>69.0</u>	191	18.4	51	12.6	36		8
24.9	69	24.2	67	<u>50.9</u>	141	()	9
<u>65.8</u>	1640	16.1	402	18.1	451		

(9)

(6 2 1)

(5 3)

(92.4 – 80.9)

(8 4)

(78.3 –71.8)

(9 7)

(58.2)

(65.8)

: -2

:(10)

:(10)

3.6	10	30.7	85	<u>65.7</u>	182		1
27.4	76	33.6	93	<u>39.0</u>	108		2
2.2	6	<u>62.1</u>	172	35.7	99		3
22.0	61	<u>63.9</u>	177	14.1	39		4
5.1	14	12.3	34	<u>82.7</u>	229		5
2.9	8	13.4	37	<u>83.8</u>	232		6
2.2	6	5.8	16	<u>92.1</u>	255	()	7
<u>77.6</u>	215	17.3	48	5.1	14	()	8

<u>44.4</u>	123	31.0	86	24.5	68	()	9
18.4	51	23.5	65	<u>58.1</u>	161		10
15.9	44	12.6	35	<u>71.5</u>	198		11
2.9	8	25.3	70	<u>71.8</u>	199		12
18.7	622	27.7	918	<u>53.7</u>	1784		

(10)

(7 6 5)

(12 11 8)

(92.1 - 82.7)

(71.6 -71.5)

(8)

(65.7 – 62.1)

(4,3,1)

(10)

(4 3)

(1)

(9 2)

(58.1)

(2)

(44.4 39.0)

(3)

.

(53.7)

:

– 3

:(11)

:(11)

24.5	68	<u>67.1</u>	186	8.3	23		1
3.2	9	<u>87.4</u>	242	9.4	26		2
43.7	121	<u>50.2</u>	139	6.1	17		3
<u>51.3</u>	142	35.7	99	13.0	36	()	4
0.7	2	24.2	67	<u>75.1</u>	208		5
4.7	13	<u>67.9</u>	188	27.4	76		6
5.1	14	<u>66.4</u>	184	28.5	79	()	7
7.6	21	<u>66.4</u>	184	26.0	72	(	8
2.5	7	<u>75.1</u>	208	22.4	62		9
25.3	70	23.1	64	<u>51.6</u>	143	()	10
2.2	6	10.1	28	<u>87.7</u>	243		11
13.0	36	<u>63.5</u>	176	23.5	65		12
<u>72.2</u>	200	22.0	61	5.8	16		13
19.7	709	<u>50.7</u>	1826	29.6	1066		

(11)

(11 2)

(2)

(87.7 – 87.4)

(13 9 5)

(11)

(5)

(75.1 - 72.2)

(12 8 7 6 1)

(13)

(9)

(67.9-63.5)

(51.6- 50.2)

(10 4 3)

(10)

(4)

(3)

(50.7)

.

:

– 4

:(12)

:(12)

1.1	3	<u>82.3</u>	228	16.6	46		1
<u>48.0</u>	133	45.8	127	6.1	17		2
24.9	69	<u>53.8</u>	149	21.3	59		3
7.2	20	12.6	35	<u>80.1</u>	222		4
7.6	21	<u>62.5</u>	173	30.0	83		5
20.9	58	22.0	61	<u>57.0</u>	158		6
17.0	47	35.4	98	<u>47.7</u>	132		7
0.7	2	7.2	20	<u>92.1</u>	255		8
1.1	3	<u>54.5</u>	151	44.4	123		9
0.7	2	26.0	72	<u>73.3</u>	203		10
24.2	67	<u>57.4</u>	159	18.4	51		11
13.9	425	41.8	1273	<u>44.3</u>	1349		

(12)

(8 4 1)

(1) (92.1–80.1)

(10) (8 4)

(5) (73.3)

(62.5)

(57.4-53.8) (11 9 6 3)

(7 2) (6) (11 9 3)

(2) (48.0 47.7)

(7)

· (44,3)

:(13)

:(13)

7.9	22	45.1	125	<u>46.9</u>	130		1
14.1	39	<u>48.4</u>	134	37.5	104		2
1.1	3	26.4	73	<u>72.6</u>	201		3
1.8	5	23.8	66	<u>74.4</u>	206		4
1.1	3	10.1	28	<u>88.8</u>	246		5
2.2	6	40.1	111	<u>57.8</u>	160		6
5.4	15	<u>59.6</u>	165	35.0	97		7
20.2	56	<u>50.5</u>	140	29.3	81		8
13.7	38	<u>43.3</u>	120	43.0	119		9
7.5	187	38.6	962	<u>53.9</u>	1344		

(13)

(5)

(4 3)

(88.8)

(8 7 6)

(74.4 72.6)

(6)

(59.6-50.5)

(9 2 1)

(8 7)

(9 2)

(1)

(48.4-43.3)

(53.9)

.

:

– 6

:(14)

:(14)

0.7	2	2.5	7	<u>96.8</u>	268		1
9.0	25	31.8	88	<u>59.2</u>	164		2
0.4	1	5.8	16	<u>93.9</u>	260		3
0.4	1	5.4	15	<u>94.2</u>	261		4
0.7	2	6.9	19	<u>92.4</u>	256		5
0.4	1	5.4	15	<u>94.2</u>	261		6
2.5	7	<u>84.5</u>	234	13.0	36		7
2.0	39	20.3	394	<u>77.7</u>	1506		

(14)

(7 6 5 4 3 1)

(96.8 –92.4)

(2)

(7)

(59.2)

• (77.7)

:

(15)

()

:

:(15)

<u>65.8</u>	1640	16.1	402	18.1	451		1
18.7	622	27.7	918	<u>53.7</u>	1784		2
19.7	709	<u>50.7</u>	1826	29.6	1066		3
13.9	425	41.8	1273	<u>44.3</u>	1349		4
7.5	187	38.6	962	<u>53.9</u>	1344		5
2.0	39	20.3	394	<u>77.7</u>	1506		6
21.4	3622	34.2	5775	<u>44.4</u>	7500		

(15)

(77.7)

(65.8)

(53.7)

(53.9)

(50.7)

(44.3)

.

(15)

:

.

(15)

:

(44.4)

(7500)

(34.2)

(5775)

(21.4)

(3622)

.

(14-9)

:

.

(16)

		) .(		1
.				
() ()		) (		2

()		()		3
.	()			
	(			
				4
	.	.		
				5
	.			
	.			6
		.		

(16)

(29)

(12)

(20)

.

:

".

.

(0.05= α)

"

.

(²)

/

.

(17)

(²)

:(17)

2		2		2		2		
9.04	4697	3.96	1070	0.67	1638	4.41	1989	
4.83	11468	0.99	2409	1.16	3852	2.68	5207	
7.43	732	1.23	143	4.85	285	1.35	304	
*21.3	16897	6.18	3622	6.68	5775	8.44	7500	

(4)

9.48 =

²

(0.05= α)

*

(17)

(0.05= α)

„2 „ (21.3) „2 „

(9.48) (0.05= α) (4)

„ „

.

/

.

(18)

() :(18)

30.8	29.8	27.6		%
69.3	70.2	72.4		
*13.57	*14.38	*16.32	()	
88.2	85.2	86.7		%
11.8	14.8	13.3		
*22.34	*18.70	*20.39	()	
94.4	93.1	94.5		%
5.6	6.9	5.5		
*54.62	*48.10	*55.21	()	

1.96 (0.05= α) () *

() (18)

.()

:

" :

(0.05= α)

."

(²)

/

(19)

(²)

:(19)

²		²		²		²		
1.81	6527	0.38	1376	0.43	2200	1.00	2951	
1.14	10370	0.24	2246	0.27	3575	0.63	4549	
2.95	16897	0.62	3622	0.70	5775	1.63	7500	

(2)

5.99 = ²

(19)

(0.05= α)

"² "

(2.95)

"² "

(5.99)

(0.05= α)

(2)

▪

:

" :

(0.05= α)

"

(²)

/

(20)

(²)

:(20)

²		²		²		²		
4.14	10797	3.23	2228	0.24	3720	0.67	4849	10 -1
4.84	2196	3.80	513	0.36	734	0.68	949	20 -10
3.01	3904	2.33	881	0.13	1321	0.55	1702	20
[*] 11.99	16897	8.36	3622	0.73	5775	1.90	7500	

(4)

9.48 = ²

(0.05= α)

*

(0.05= α)

(20)

(2)

"² "

(11.99)

"² "

(9.48)

(0.05= α)

" "

/

(21)

()

:(21)

81.3	83.5	83.6	10 -1	%
18.7	16.5	16.4	20 -10	
*23.43	*26.34	*26.49	()	
71.7	73.8	74.0	10 -1	%
28.3	26.2	26.0	20	
*14.96	*16.81	*16.99	()	
36.8	35.7	35.8	20 -10	%
63.2	64.3	64.2	20	
*5.47	*5.97	*5.92	()	

1.96

(0.05= α)

()

*

()

(0.05= α)

20 20 -10 10 -1 :

10 -1

.(10 -1)

:

" :

(0.05= α)

"

(²)

/

(22)

(²)

:(22)

2		2		2		2		
17.22	9333	13.51	2165	1.36	3124	2.35	4044	
21.24	7564	16.67	1475	1.67	2651	2.90	3456	
* 38.46	16879	30.18	3622	3.03	5775	5.25	7500	

(2)

5.99 =

²

(0.05= α)

*

(22)

(0.05= α)

"2 "

(38.46)

"2 "

(5.99)

(0.05= α)

(2)

" "

/

(23)

()

:(23)

59.8	54.1	53.9		%
40.2	45.9	46.1		
*6.65	*2.74	*2.60	()	

1.96

(0.05= α)

()

*

()

(23)

(0.05= α)

()

.()

:

:

(0.05= α)

.

(²)

/

(24)

(²)

:(24)

2		2		2		2		
4.78	9028	1.81	1876	2.71	3177	0.26	3975	
5.49	7869	2.08	1746	3.11	2598	0.30	3525	
*10.27	16897	3.89	3622	5.82	5775	0.56	7500	

(2)

5.99 =

2

(0.05= α)

*

(24)

(0.05= α)

„2 “

(10.27)

„2 “

(5.99)

(0.05= α)

(2)

„ “

.

/

(25)

.

()

:(25)

51.8	55.0	53.0		%
48.2	45.0	47.0		
1.33	*3.35	*2.00	()	

1.96

(0.05= α)

()

*

() (25)

(0.05= α)

.()

:

" :

(0.05= α)

."

(²)

/

.(26)

(²) : (26)

²		²		²		²		
3.33	4819	0.56	1057	0.92	1686	1.85	2076	
4.96	3050	1.45	623	0.83	1013	2.68	1414	
2.17	2684	0.97	599	1.14	885	0.06	1200	
21.76	2928	8.18	556	12.37	1112	1.21	1260	
1.13	1830	0.08	398	0.44	642	0.61	790	
31.89	1586	7.07	389	20.36	437	4.46	760	
* 65.24	16897	18.31	3622	36.06	5775	10.87	7500	

(10)

18.03 =

²

(0.05= α)

*

(0.05= α)

(26)

(10)

"² "

(65.24)

"² "

(18.03)

(0.05= α)

" "

/

(27)

()

:(27)

62.9	62.5	59.5		%
37.1	37.5	40.5		
* 6.07	* 5.87	* 4.40	()	
63.8	65.6	63.4		%
36.2	34.4	36.6		
* 6.37	* 7.28	* 6.17	()	
65.5	60.3	62.2		%
34.5	39.7	37.8		
* 7.35	* 3.88	* 5.67	()	
72.7	72.4	72.4		%
27.3	27.6	27.6		
* 10.46	* 10.46	* 10.46	()	
73.1	79.4	73.2		%
26.9	20.6	26.8		
* 10.73	* 14.90	* 10.74	()	

51.0	53.4	54.1		%
49.0	46.4	45.9		
1.36	1.36	1.56	()	
52.8	47.7	52.9		%
47.2	52.3	47.1		
1.11	0.91	1.15	()	
61.0	61.2	64.2		%
39.0	38.8	35.8		
*4.03	*4.11	*5.30	()	
61.6	69.9	65.0		%
38.4	30.1	35.0		
*4.16	*7.56	*5.48	()	
51.9	88.8	48.8		%
48.1	51.2	51.2		
0.73	*23.60	0.46	()	
60.1	58.0	60.3		%
39.9	42.0	39.7		
*3.55	*2.79	*3.62	()	
60.6	66.9	61.2		%
39.4	33.1	38.8		
*3.63	*6.01	*3.85	()	
58.3	63.4	61.5		%
41.7	36.6	38.5		
*2.97	*4.91	*4.18	()	
58.8	71.8	62.4		%
41.2	28.2	37.6		
*3.08	*8.33	*4.40	()	
50.6	59.5	51.0		%
49.4	40.5	49.0		
0.18	*2.90	0.30	()	

1.96

(0.05= α)

()

*

$$(\quad) \quad (27)$$

$$(0.05=\alpha)$$

$$(\quad)$$

/

/

/

/

.

.

:

:

.

.

(15)

(44.4)

(34.2)

(21.4)

1967

1994

)

(2000

(1991)

(1995)

(2002)

(%80)

.

(Hanson, 2000)

(Aniley and

McKenzie, 2000)

(Muta, 2000)

(1983)

.

(Schmidt & Prawat, 1999)

.

()

:

- 1

(65.8)

. (8 6 5 4 3 2 1)

(86.3) (92) :

(78.8) (80.9)

(71.8)

(69.0) :

. (67.9)

(58.2) (9 7)

(50.9)

(20.9)

. (24.2)

.

(1991)

1967 – 1948

(1995)

(%80)

(Ainley & Mckenzie ,2000)

Schmidt & Prawat,)

(76)

(88)

(1999

()

(Stinnette, 1993)

.

(8 7)

(1991)

.

(53.7)

. (12 11 10 7 6 5 2 1)

:

(83.8) (92.1) ()

(82.7)

(71.8)

(71.5)

(65.7)

(39.0)

(58.1)

(9 8 4 3)

(63.9)

(62.1)

(77.6) ()

.

(44.4) ()

(9)

(8)

.

.

(1991)

()

Ainley & McKenzie,)

(2000

(%51.1)

(%85)

(Schmidt & Prawat, 1999)

.

.

: -3

(50.7)

(12 9 8 7 6 3 2 1)

.

:

(75.1)		(87.4)	
	(67.9)		(67.1)
	(66.4)		(66.4)
		.	(63.5)
(13 11 10 5 4)			
	(87.7)	:	
(51.6)	()		(75.1)
	(72.2)		
	.	(51.3)	()

(Stinnette, 1993)

(Wohlsteter, et.,al., 1997)

.

-4

(44.3)

.

(10 8 7 6 4)

:
 (80.1) (92.1)
 (57.0) (%73.3)
 . (47.7)
 (11 9 5 3 2 1)
 (82.3) :
 (57.4) (62.5)
 (53.8) (54.5)
 . (48.0)
 (1985)
 (2002)
 (McGriff, 1993) (Stinnette, 1993)
 .
 -5
 (53.9)
 . (6 5 4 3 1)

:

(74.4) (88.8)

(57.8) (72.5)

(46.9)

(9 8 7 2)

(59.6) :

(50.5)

(48.4)

(43.3)

(Mc Griff, 1993)

(Stinnette, 1993)

(1991)

-6

(77.7)

. (6 5 4 3 2 1)

:

(92.4) (94.2) (96.8)
 (93.9) (94.2)
 . (59.2)
 (84.5) (7)

.

(77.5)

) (1982)
 (Hanson, 2000) (Stinnette, 1993) (1999) (1991) (1989
 (2002)

.

:

:

(0.05= α)

"

."

(17)

$(0.05=\alpha)$

(18)

.

()

.

(1999)

(1986)

(2002)

.

:

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

"

.".

(19)

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.

(44.4)

(34.2)

(1996)

(1997)

.

:

:

(0.05= α)

.(/)

(20)

(0.05= α)

(21)

.

()

10 -1)

.(

-1)

(10

.

(1999)

(1987)

.

(1986)

(1995)

(1997)

(2002)

(1996)

.

:

:

($0.05=\alpha$)

▪"

(22)

($0.05=\alpha$)

()

(23)

.

.

.

(1995)

(1982)

(1986)

.

:

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

"

"
▪

(24)

$(0.05=\alpha)$

(25)

.

()

.

.

∴

∴

$(0.05=\alpha)$

"

"
.

(26)

$(0.05=\alpha)$

()

(25)

.

.

/

/

/

. /

()

.

.

:

-1

.

-2

.

:

-3

.

- 4

.

-5

.

- 6

.

- 7

.

:

" .(1996) .

) " -

(

" .(1999) .

() "

.

.95-86/111

.(1994) .

:

.(1985) .

.

.(1981) .

.188-179 / 24

" .(1991)

() "

.

.(1989) .

.

.(1991)

.

.(1982) .

.

.(1987) .

.

.(1996) .

" "

.

.(1982) .

.69-64 / 1 27

.(2001) .

.

" .(1995) .

() "

.

: .(1996) .

.

: .(1997) .

.

" .(1989) .

() "

" .(2002) .

) "

.

(

.(1992) .

.() .

.

" (1987) .

) "

.

(

2

.(1979) .

.

" .(1999) .

) " -

. (

" .(1986).

"

. ()

.(1998) .

.

" .(1997) .

) "

. (

: .(1999) .

.

2 .(1998) .

.

. () .(1998) .

. .(2001) .

" .(1996) .

. () "

.(1985) .

.36-35/34

. .(1979) .

.(1995) .

:

(1994 24 - 22)

.(1996) .

.

2

.(1993) .

.

.(2000) .

.2003/7/ 2 . :

www.albyan.co.ae/albyan/2000/05/29/mhl/11.htm

.(2000) .

.2003/7/2 . :

www.albyan.co.ae/albyan/2000/05/29/mhl/11.htm

: .(1983) .

.

3 .(1996) .

.

.(1984) .

.

.(1996) .

.

1998/1997 .(1998) .

.

" .(1983) .

· () "

3

.(1982) .

·

"

" .(2002) .

· ()

.(2000) .

·

– 2001/2000

.(2000) .

2005/2004

·

.(2001) .

·

:

Ainley, J., and McKenzie, P., (2000). *School Governance: Educational and Management Issues*, **International Educational Journal**, 1(3)/ 139 – 151.

Retrieved on 22/07/2001.

www.sturt.flinders.edu.au/iej/ARTICLES/v1n3/ainley/begin.HTM

Caldwell, B., and Haywood, D., (1998). **The Future of Schools Lessons from the Reform of Public Education**, London, Falmer Press.

Retrieved on 26/09/2002.

<http://www.sae.bc.ca/99-2-4.htm>

Dworkin, A., G., (2001). *Perspectives on Teacher Burnout and School Reform*, **International Educational Journal**, 2 (2) / 1 – 10.

Retrieved on 03/11/2003.

www.sturt.flinders.edu.au/iej/ARTICLES/v2n2/dworkin/begin.HTM

Hanson, E., M., (2000). *Democratization and Educational Decentralization in Spain: A Twenty-Year Struggle for Reform*. **Education Reform and Management Publication Series**, 1 (3)/1-62.

Retrieved on 06/11/2003.

www1.worldbank.org/education/global/educationreform/pdf/hanson.pdf

Hawkins, J., N., (2000). **Centralization, Decentralization, Recentralization: Educational Reform in China**, University of California, Los Angeles.

McGinn, N., and Welsh, T., (1999). **Decentralization of Education: Why, When, What and How?** Paris, UNESCO, International Institute for Educational Planning.

Retrieved on 13/10/2003.

www.unesco.org/iiep

McGriff, D., M., (1993). *Decentralization: Lessons from the Detroit public Schools*, **North Central Regional Educational Laboratory (NCREL)**.

Retrieved on 25 /10/2003.

www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues

Mezel, J., A., (1989). *Consequences of Centralization and Decentralization in Vocational Administration in Iraq and United States*, **Research Report**, U.S.A./P.14

Muta, H., (2000). *Deregulation and Decentralization of Education in Japan*, **Journal of Educational Administration**. 38/454-467.

Salameh, K., M., (1987). **Administration Reform in the Jordanian Ministry of Education** , A field study, University of California.DAI-A 48/03, p. 533.

Schmidt, W., and Prawat, R., (1999). *What does the Third International Mathematics and Science tell us about where to draw the line in top- down versus bottom-up debate?*, **Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis**, 21(1), 85-91.

Stinnette, Lynn J. (1993). *Decentralization: Why, How and Toward What Ends?*, **North Central Regional Educational Laboratory (NCREL)**.

Retrieved on 25/06/2002.

www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/envrnmnt/go/93-1intr.htm

Wohlstetter, Morhman, Kirk and Robertson. (1997). **Organizing for Successful School-Based**. ASCD.

Retrieved on 05/10/2003

http://www.sae.bc.ca/2002_3_4.htm.

() :(1)

/

"
.

"

.

:

:

.

/

/

/

/

/

.

.

() _____ :

: -1

– () – –

() : -2

– –

:(/) -3

(20 – 10) – (10 – 1) –

(20) –

: -4

– –

: -5

- –

: -6

– – –

– – –

			) -7 (
			() -8
			() -9
			-10
			-11
			-12
			:
			-1
			-2
			- 3
			() -4
			-5
			-6
			() -7
			() -8
			-9
			() -10
			-11
			-12
			-13

:			
			-1
			-2
			-3
			-4
			-5
			-6
			-7
			-8
			-9
			-10
			-11
:			
			-1
			-2
			-3
			-4
			-5
			-6
			-7
			-8
			-9

:			
			-1
			-2
			-3
			-4
			-5
			-6
			-7

:(2)

:(3)

:(4)

:	
-	. -1
-	. -2
-	. -3
-	. -4
-	. -5
-	. -6
-	. -7
-	. -8
:	
-	. -1
-	. -2
-	. -3
-	. -4
-	. -5
-	. -6
-	. -7
-	. -8
	. -9
	. -10
	. -11

An-Najah National University
Faculty of Graduate Studies

**Centralization and Decentralization of the Educational
Administration in Palestine from the Principals of
the Governmental Schools in the Northern
Palestinian Governorates Point of View.**

Prepared by

Rasheed Khaled Rashed Mansour

Supervised by

Dr. Abed Mohammad Assaf

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master in Educational Administration, Faculty of Graduate Studies, at An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

2004

**Centralization and Decentralization of the Educational
Administration in Palestine from the Principals of
the Governmental Schools in the Northern
Palestinian Governorates Point of View.**

**Prepared by
Rasheed Khaled Rashed Mansour**

**Supervised by
Dr. Abed Mohammad Assaf**

Abstract

This study aimed at knowing the perspective of the of governmental schools' principals in the Northern Palestinian Governorate about the centralization and decentralization patterns in the educational administration in Palestine, and also to determine the effects the independent variables: scientific qualification, educational qualification, years of administrative experience, gender, the school's stage, and the directorate; these variables were constructed as null hypothesis.

The researcher developed a questionnaire, consisted of (61) items, depending on the educational literature and previous studies. The questionnaire represents six educational administrative fields: curriculum, teaching methods, personnel affairs, pupils affairs, school buildings, and financial affairs. After proving the reliability and validity of the questionnaire, the researcher distributed it on a sample of (300) principals of governmental schools in the directorates of Nablus, Jenin, Qabatya, Tulkerm, Qalqilia and Salfeet; the valid questionnaires, that were attained, were (153) for male and (124) for female principals.

The most important results that emerged of the study include:

- 1- The tendency of the principals is toward the decentralization pattern. The school level obtained the highest percentage (44.4%) of a response for the total grade of the fields of the study, the directorate level is the next with a response of (34.2%), and both levels represent the field of the educational administration. That is the pattern of decentralization in educational administration is chosen by a big percentage of (78.6 %), while the ministry level, that represents the centralization pattern, is chosen by a percentage of (21.4).
- 2- The principals have chosen school level as the desired administrative level to take decisions related to four educational fields: financial affairs, school buildings, teaching methods, and pupils' affairs, they also have chosen the directorate level for the field of personnel affairs. These pro decentralization pattern results are emphasized if they are considered together. While they have chosen the ministry level for one educational field: the curriculum, i.e. their tend is for decentralization pattern for it.
- 3- There are significant statistical differences at the level of indication ($\alpha = 0.05$) between the desired administrative levels to take decisions bases on the variables: the scientific qualification, the years of administrative experience, the gender, the school's stage and the directorate. While there isn't such differences due to the educational qualification variable.

In the light of the study's results, the researcher recommends that the Ministry of Education to continue supporting the tendency towards decentralization pattern in the educational administration in Palestine. He also recommends that more scientific studies about this subject must be implemented.